

Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary

Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview

1. **Date of Submission:** 2011-01-19
2. **Agency:** 011
3. **Bureau:** 10
4. **Name of this Investment:** FBI Terrorist Screening System (TSS)
5. **Unique Project (Investment) Identifier (UPI):** 011-10-01-02-01-3177-00
6. **What kind of investment will this be in FY 2012?:** Mixed Life Cycle
 - Planning
 - Full Acquisition
 - Operations and Maintenance
 - Mixed Life Cycle
 - Multi-Agency Collaboration
7. **What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB?** FY2006

8.

- a. **Provide a brief summary of the investment and justification, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap, specific accomplishments expected by the budget year and the related benefit to the mission, and the primary beneficiary(ies) of the investment.**

The Terrorist Screening Center (TSC) is headed by the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) with on site participation from elements of the US State Department, Homeland Security, Department of Defense, US Treasury and members of the US Intelligence Community. TSC provides a central clearing house for the identification of all Known and Suspected Terrorists (KST's). TSC databases are a composite of the individual terrorist watch lists previously maintained by elements of the federal government. TSC database products provide international, federal, DOD, state, local and tribal law enforcement officials with the information necessary to aid in threat identification. By placing KST information into the hands of all US law enforcement officers, TSC is providing the United States with a centralized process to identify KST's anytime they might have a law enforcement encounter inside the country. TSC is also enabling its international partners by providing them with similar type services. TSC has a 24/7 operations center which provides all law enforcement customers with KST identification resolution. The TSC and its partners have developed a layered US defense stretching from overseas visa processes to US local law enforcement encounters, balancing national security objectives with privacy/civil liberty concerns. The information technology requirements associated with TSC require ongoing investment to keep the database applications and underlying infrastructure in an operational state. New operational requirements cause TSC Information Technology elements to remain in a mixed life cycle for the foreseeable future. TSC application improvements occur through an Agile Software Development process, with new application releases occurring on a quarterly basis. The application updates are a result of evolving requirements/directives, as well as findings and recommendations by the DOJ IG and the GAO.

- b. **Provide any links to relevant websites that would be useful to gain additional information on the investment including links to GAO and IG reports.**

Title	Link
NONE	

9.

a. **Provide the date of the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approval of this investment.**

2009-05-09

b. **Provide the date of the most recent or planned approved project charter.** 2011-04-0110. **Contact information?**a. **Program/Project Manager Name:** ***Phone Number:** ***Email:** *b. **Business Function Owner Name (i.e. Executive Agent or Investment Owner):** Timothy Healy**Phone Number:** ***Email:** *

11. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (choose only one per FAC-P/PM or DAWIA): Project manager has been validated according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria as qualified for this investment.

- Project manager has been validated according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria as qualified for this investment.
- Project manager qualifications according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria is under review for this investment.
- Project manager assigned to investment, but does not meet requirements according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria.
- Project manager assigned but qualification status review has not yet started.
- No project manager has yet been assigned to this investment.

Section B: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)

1.

Table I.B.1: Summary of Funding

(In millions of dollars)

(Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)

	PY-1 and earlier	PY 2010	CY 2011 (CY Continuing Resolution)	BY 2012	BY+1 2013	BY+2 2014	BY+3 2015	BY+4 and beyond	Total
Planning:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Acquisition:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Planning & Acquisition Government FTE Costs	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Subtotal Planning & Acquisition(DME):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Operations & Maintenance:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Disposition Costs (optional):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Operations, Maintenance, Disposition Government FTE Costs	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Subtotal O&M and Disposition Costs (SS):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
TOTAL FTE Costs	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
TOTAL (not including FTE costs):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
TOTAL (including FTE costs):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Number of FTE represented by	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Table I.B.1: Summary of Funding
(In millions of dollars)

(Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)

	PY-1 and earlier	PY 2010	CY 2011 (CY Continuing Resolution)	BY 2012	BY+1 2013	BY+2 2014	BY+3 2015	BY+4 and beyond	Total
Costs:									

2. Insert the number of years covered in the column “PY-1 and earlier”: 7

3. Insert the number of years covered in the column “BY+4 and beyond”: *

4. If the summary of funding has changed from the FY 2011 President’s Budget request, briefly explain those changes:

*

Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

1.

Table I.C.1 Contracts Table

Contract Status	Contracting Agency ID	Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)	Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID	Solicitation ID	Alternative financing	EVM Required	Ultimate Contract Value (M)	Type of Contract/Task Order (Pricing)	Is the contract a Performance Based Service Acquisition (PBSA)?	Effective date	Actual or expected End Date of Contract/Task Order	Extent Completed	Short description of acquisition
Awarded	1549	DJFA0G0805618	GS35F04953G		*	*	\$0.3	Time and Materials	Y	2010-01-07	2011-02-19	Y	0200-pmss tor#37 TSC finance unit
Awarded	1549	DJFA0G0908301	GS35F0344L		*	*	\$24.5	Firm Fixed Price	N	2009-10-28	2010-09-08	N	0200 IT Admin support
Awarded	1549	DJFJFBI09067			*	*	\$21.2	Time and Materials	N	2009-03-30		N	0200 admin support
Awarded	1549	DJFJFBI07164			*	*	\$1.0	Cost Plus Incentive	N	2007-03-29		Y	mitre support services
Awarded	1549	DJFA9G0908300	GS35F0344L		*	*	\$7.7	Firm Fixed Price	N	2009-09-02	2010-09-08	N	0200 tech support

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

*

3.

a. Has an Acquisition Plan been developed? If yes, please answer the questions that follow *

b. Does the Acquisition Plan reflect the requirements of FAR Subpart 7.1 *

- c. Was the Acquisition Plan approved in accordance with agency requirements *
- d. If "yes," enter the date of approval? *
- e. Is the acquisition plan consistent with your agency Strategic Sustainability Performance Plan? *
- f. Does the acquisition plan meet the requirements of EOs 13423 and 13514? *
- g. If an Acquisition Plan has not been developed, provide a brief explanation.

*

Part II: IT Capital Investments

Section A: General

1.
 - a. Confirm that the IT Program/Project manager has the following competencies: configuration management, data management, information management, information resources strategy and planning, information systems/network security, IT architecture, IT performance assessment, infrastructure design, systems integration, systems life cycle, technology awareness, and capital planning and investment control. *yes*
 - b. If not, confirm that the PM has a development plan to achieve competencies either by direct experience or education.
2. Describe the progress of evaluating cloud computing alternatives for service delivery to support this investment. DOJ will include cloud computing (CC) capabilities in its infrastructure when they are mature enough to support DOJ missions and security requirements. Its CC strategy will define a service approach for implementing CC capabilities across DOJ.
3. Provide the date of the most recent or planned Quality Assurance Plan 2009-05-11
4.
 - a. Provide the UPI of all other investments that have a significant dependency on the successful implementation of this investment.
 - b. If this investment is significantly dependent on the successful implementation of another investment(s), please provide the UPI(s).
5. An Alternatives Analysis must be conducted for all Major Investments with Planning and Acquisition (DME) activities and evaluate the costs and benefits of at least three alternatives and the status quo. The details of the analysis must be available to OMB upon request. Provide the date of the most recent or planned alternatives analysis for this investment. 2007-12-07
6. Risks must be actively managed throughout the lifecycle of the investment. The Risk Management Plan and risk register must be available to OMB upon request. Provide the date that the risk register was last updated. 2010-08-18

Section B: Cost and Schedule Performance

Table II.B.1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline:

Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
TSS (FY13) TSDB Release 3.7	DME	*	*	*	2012-10-01	*	2012-11-30	*	*	*
TSS (FY12) EMA Release 3.2	DME	*	*	*	2011-11-01	*	2012-01-31	*	*	*
TSS (FY12) DME Equipment	DME	*	\$2.8	\$0.0	2011-10-01		2012-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
TSS (FY10) TSDB Release 2.7	DME	*	\$5.9	\$2.9	2009-10-26	2009-10-26	2010-04-02	2010-05-12	100.00%	100.00%
TSS (FY13) TSDB Release 4.0	DME	*	*	*	2013-06-01	*	2013-08-30	*	*	*
TSS (FY15) EMA Release 4.5	DME	*	*	*	2015-02-01	*	2015-04-30	*	*	*
TSS (FY14) EMA Release 4.0	DME	*	*	*	2013-11-01	*	2014-01-31	*	*	*
TSS (FY14) TSDB Release 4.4	DME	*	*	*	2014-06-01	*	2014-08-30	*	*	*
TSS (FY14) TSDB Release 4.2	DME	*	*	*	2013-12-01	*	2014-02-28	*	*	*
TSS (FY08) ASP Project Management	DME	*	\$0.2	\$0.2	2007-10-01	2008-09-30	2008-09-30	2008-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
TSS (FY08) EMA Development	DME	*	\$5.0	\$4.3	2007-10-01	2007-10-01	2008-09-30	2008-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
TSS (FY08) EMA Requirements	DME	*	\$0.3	\$0.6	2007-10-01	2007-10-01	2008-09-30	2008-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
TSS (FY13) O&M	SS	*	*	*	2012-10-01	*	2013-09-30	*	*	*

Table II.B.1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline:

Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
TSS (FY12) TSDB Release 3.3	DME	*	\$1.8	\$0.0	2011-10-01		2011-11-30		0.00%	0.00%
TSS (FY11) DME Equipment	DME	*	\$8.0	\$1.9	2010-10-01	2010-10-01	2011-09-30		58.00%	24.00%
TSS (FY10) EMA Release 2.5	DME	*	\$1.0	\$1.8	2009-10-01	2009-10-01	2010-02-11	2010-03-17	100.00%	100.00%
TSS (FY10) Requirements Development	DME	*	\$0.2	\$1.7	2009-10-01	2009-10-01	2010-09-30	2010-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
TSS (FY10) DME Equipment	DME	*	\$4.0	\$12.3	2009-10-01	2009-10-01	2010-09-30	2010-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
TSS (FY14) EMA Release 4.1	DME	*	*	*	2014-02-01	*	2014-04-30	*	*	*
TSS (FY14) O&M	SS	*	*	*	2013-10-01	*	2014-09-30	*	*	*
TSS (FY13) EMA Release 3.9	DME	*	*	*	2013-08-01	*	2013-10-30	*	*	*
TSS (FY13) EMA Release 3.6	DME	*	*	*	2012-11-01	*	2013-01-31	*	*	*
TSS (FY13) EMA Release 3.5	DME	*	*	*	2012-10-01	*	2012-10-30	*	*	*
TSS (FY08) EMA Test	DME	*	\$0.3	\$0.1	2007-10-01	2007-10-01	2008-09-30	2008-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
TSS (FY12) EMA Release 3.4	DME	*	*	*	2012-05-01	*	2012-07-31	*	*	*
TSS (FY12) TSDB Release 3.6	DME	*	*	*	2012-06-01	*	2012-08-30	*	*	*
TSS (FY11) EMA Release 2.9	DME	*	\$0.8	\$0.0	2011-02-01		2011-04-30		0.00%	0.00%
TSS (FY11) TSDB Release 3.2	DME	*	\$2.9	\$0.0	2011-06-01		2011-08-30		0.00%	0.00%
TSS (FY11) O&M	SS	*	\$23.6	\$16.9	2010-10-01	2010-10-01	2011-09-30		58.00%	72.00%

Table II.B.1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline:

Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
TSS (FY15) O&M	SS	*	*	*	2014-10-01	*	2015-09-30	*	*	*
TSS (FY14) EMA Release 4.3	DME	*	*	*	2014-08-01	*	2014-10-30	*	*	*
TSS (FY14) EMA Release 4.2	DME	*	*	*	2014-05-01	*	2014-07-31	*	*	*
TSS (FY14) EMA Release 3.9	DME	*	*	*	2013-10-01	*	2013-10-30	*	*	*
TSS (FY13) EMA Release 3.8	DME	*	*	*	2013-05-01	*	2013-07-31	*	*	*
TSS (FY15) EMA Release 4.6	DME	*	*	*	2015-05-01	*	2015-07-31	*	*	*
TSS (FY08) EMA Project Management	DME	*	\$0.2	\$0.7	2007-10-01	2007-10-01	2008-09-30	2008-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
TSS (FY13) DME Equipment	DME	*	*	*	2012-10-01	*	2013-09-30	*	*	*
TSS (FY12) O&M	SS	*	\$24.7	\$0.0	2011-10-01		2012-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
TSS (FY10) EMA Release 2.6	DME	*	\$2.4	\$1.2	2009-11-24	2009-11-24	2010-05-06	2010-06-22	100.00%	100.00%
TSS (FY10) TSDB Release 2.9	DME	*	\$1.6	\$0.5	2010-07-01	2010-08-16	2010-11-15		95.00%	85.00%
TSS (FY15) EMA Release 4.4	DME	*	*	*	2014-11-01	*	2015-01-31	*	*	*
TSS (FY15) TSDB Release 4.7	DME	*	*	*	2015-03-01	*	2015-05-30	*	*	*
TSS (FY09) EMA Project Management	DME	*	\$0.3	\$0.3	2008-10-01	2008-10-01	2009-09-30	2009-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
TSS (FY09) TSDB Development	DME	*	\$5.3	\$8.4	2008-10-01	2008-10-01	2009-09-30	2009-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
TSS (FY09)	DME	*	\$1.7	\$2.6	2008-10-01	2008-10-01	2009-09-30	2009-09-30	100.00%	100.00%

Table II.B.1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline:

Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
TSDB Requirements										
TSS (FY09) Network and Engineering Support	DME	*	\$7.5	\$13.9	2008-10-01	2008-10-01	2009-09-30	2009-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
TSS (FY08) Acquisitions	DME	*	\$12.5	\$9.5	2007-10-01	2007-10-01	2008-09-30	2008-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
TSS (FY13) TSDB Release 3.8	DME	*	*	*	2012-12-01	*	2013-02-28	*	*	*
TSS (FY11) TSDB Release 3.1	DME	*	\$2.9	\$0.0	2011-03-01		2011-05-30		0.00%	0.00%
TSS (FY10) TSDB Release 2.6	DME	*	\$0.9	\$0.8	2009-10-01	2009-10-01	2009-12-02	2009-12-02	100.00%	100.00%
TSS (FY10) O&M	SS	*	\$23.3	\$19.6	2009-10-01	2009-10-01	2010-09-30	2010-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
TSS (FY13) EMA Release 3.7	DME	*	*	*	2013-02-01	*	2013-04-30	*	*	*
TSS (FY09) TSDB Project Management	DME	*	\$0.6	\$0.9	2008-10-01	2008-10-01	2009-09-30	2009-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
TSS (FY08) ASP Test	DME	*	\$0.4	\$0.2	2007-10-01	2008-09-30	2008-09-30	2008-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
TSS (FY08) ASP Development	DME	*	\$4.8	\$4.4	2007-10-01	2007-10-01	2008-09-30	2008-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
TSS (FY08) TSDB Requirements	DME	*	\$1.4	\$1.7	2007-10-01	2007-10-01	2008-09-30	2008-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
TSS (FY08) TSDB Project Management	DME	*	\$0.5	\$0.8	2007-10-01	2007-10-01	2008-09-30	2008-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
TSS (FY12) TSDB Release	DME	*	*	*	2011-12-01	*	2012-02-28	*	*	*

Table II.B.1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline:

Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
3.4										
TSS (FY15) TSDB Release 4.8	DME	*	*	*	2015-06-01	*	2015-08-30	*	*	*
TSS (FY14) DME Equipment	DME	*	*	*	2013-10-01	*	2014-09-30	*	*	*
TSS (FY08) ASP Requirements	DME	*	\$0.2	\$0.4	2007-10-01	2007-10-01	2008-09-30	2008-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
TSS (FY08) TSDB Development	DME	*	\$4.5	\$3.7	2007-10-01	2007-10-01	2008-09-30	2008-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
TSS (FY13) TSDB Release 3.9	DME	*	*	*	2013-03-01	*	2013-05-30	*	*	*
TSS (FY12) EMA Release 3.5	DME	*	*	*	2012-08-01	*	2012-10-30	*	*	*
TSS (FY10) TSDB Release 2.8	DME	*	\$2.3	\$1.3	2010-05-24	2010-05-21	2010-08-30	2010-09-25	100.00%	100.00%
TSS (FY15) EMA Release 4.7	DME	*	*	*	2015-08-01	*	2015-10-30	*	*	*
TSS (FY08) TSDB Test	DME	*	\$0.3	\$0.2	2007-10-01	2007-10-01	2008-09-30	2008-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
TSS (FY08) Network and Engineering Support	DME	*	\$6.1	\$15.2	2007-10-01	2007-10-01	2008-09-30	2008-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
TSS (FY09) EMA Test	DME	*	\$0.3	\$0.4	2008-10-01	2008-10-01	2009-09-30	2009-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
TSS (FY12) EMA Release 3.3	DME	*	*	*	2012-02-01	*	2012-05-01	*	*	*
TSS (FY12) EMA Release 3.1	DME	*	\$0.4	\$0.0	2011-10-01		2011-10-30		0.00%	0.00%
TSS (FY12) TSDB Release	DME	*	*	*	2012-03-01	*	2012-05-30	*	*	*

Table II.B.1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline:

Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
3.5										
TSS (FY11) EMA Release 2.8	DME	*	\$1.5	\$0.0	2010-10-15		2011-01-31		0.00%	0.00%
TSS (FY11) EMA Release 2.7	DME	*	\$0.2	\$0.7	2010-10-01	2011-01-12	2010-10-15	2011-05-24	90.00%	100.00%
TSS (FY11) TSDB Release 2.9	DME	*	\$1.5	\$6.2	2010-10-01	2010-10-01	2010-11-15		100.00%	96.00%
TSS (FY15) EMA Release 4.3	DME	*	*	*	2014-10-01	*	2014-10-30	*	*	*
TSS (FY15) TSDB Release 4.6	DME	*	*	*	2014-12-01	*	2015-02-28	*	*	*
TSS (FY15) TSDB Release 4.5	DME	*	*	*	2014-10-01	*	2014-11-30	*	*	*
TSS (FY15) DME Equipment	DME	*	*	*	2014-10-01	*	2015-09-30	*	*	*
TSS (FY14) TSDB Release 4.3	DME	*	*	*	2014-03-01	*	2014-05-30	*	*	*
TSS (FY14) TSDB Release 4.1	DME	*	*	*	2013-10-01	*	2013-11-30	*	*	*
TSS (FY09) EMA Development	DME	*	\$5.9	\$7.2	2008-10-01	2008-10-01	2009-09-30	2009-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
TSS (FY09) EMA Requirements	DME	*	\$0.3	\$0.4	2008-10-01	2008-10-01	2009-09-30	2009-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
TSS (FY09) TSDB Test	DME	*	\$0.4	\$0.6	2008-10-01	2008-10-01	2009-09-30	2009-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
TSS (FY09) Acquisitions	DME	*	\$6.5	\$7.0	2008-10-01	2008-10-01	2009-09-30	2009-06-01	100.00%	100.00%
TSS (FY13) TSDB Release 4.1	DME	*	*	*	2013-09-01	*	2013-11-30	*	*	*

Table II.B.1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline:

Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
TSS (FY16) TSDB Release 5.3	DME	*	*	*	2016-09-01	*	2016-11-30	*	*	*
TSS (FY16) EMA Release 5.1	DME	*	*	*	2016-08-01	*	2016-10-30	*	*	*
TSS (FY16) TSDB Release 5.2	DME	*	*	*	2016-06-01	*	2016-08-30	*	*	*
TSS (FY16) EMA Release 4.8	DME	*	*	*	2015-11-01	*	2016-01-31	*	*	*
TSS (FY16) DME Equipment	DME	*	*	*	2015-10-01	*	2016-09-30	*	*	*
TSS (FY14) TSDB Release 4.5	DME	*	*	*	2014-09-01	*	2014-11-30	*	*	*
TSS (FY16) TSDB Release 5.0	DME	*	*	*	2015-12-01	*	2016-02-28	*	*	*
TSS (FY16) EMA Release 4.7	DME	*	*	*	2015-10-01	*	2015-10-30	*	*	*
TSS (FY16) EMA Release 5.0	DME	*	*	*	2016-05-01	*	2016-07-31	*	*	*
TSS (FY15) TSDB Release 4.9	DME	*	*	*	2015-09-01	*	2015-11-30	*	*	*
TSS (FY16) TSDB Release 5.1	DME	*	*	*	2016-03-01	*	2016-05-30	*	*	*
TSS (FY12) TSDB Release 3.7	DME	*	*	*	2012-09-01	*	2012-11-30	*	*	*
TSS (FY11) TSDB Release 3.3	DME	*	\$1.0	\$0.0	2011-09-01		2011-11-30		0.00%	0.00%
TSS (FY16)	DME	*	*	*	2015-10-01	*	2015-11-30	*	*	*

Table II.B.1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline:

Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
TSDB Release 4.9										
TSS (FY16) EMA Release 4.9	DME	*	*	*	2016-02-01	*	2016-04-30	*	*	*
TSS (FY16) O&M	SS	*	*	*	2015-10-01	*	2016-09-30	*	*	*
TSS (FY10) TSDB Release 3.0	DME	*	\$3.4	\$0.0	2010-11-15		2011-02-28		0.00%	0.00%
TSS (FY11) Requirements	DME	*	\$2.5	\$1.8	2010-10-01	2010-10-01	2011-09-30		58.00%	70.00%

2. If the investment cost, schedule, or performance variances are not within 10 percent of the current baseline, provide a complete analysis of the reasons for the variances, the corrective actions to be taken, and the most likely estimate at completion. Milestone 13002-TSS (FY10) DME Equipment: The Actuals are way over the Planned. This is due to primarily to the procurement of requirements originally planned for FY11. The purchases for these items were accelerated in order to meet required delivery deadlines. An offset (reduction) in Milestone 12999-TSS (FY11) DME Equipment is being considered. At this time, Milestone 12999 will remain unchanged.

3. For mixed lifecycle or operations and maintenance investments an Operational Analysis must be performed annually. Operational analysis may identify the need to redesign or modify an asset by identifying previously undetected faults in design, construction, or installation/integration, highlighting whether actual operation and maintenance costs vary significantly from budgeted costs, or documenting that the asset is failing to meet program requirements. The details of the analysis must be available to OMB upon request. Insert the date of the most recent or planned operational analysis.
2010-06-01

4. Did the Operational analysis cover all 4 areas of analysis: Customer Results, Strategic and Business Results, Financial Performance, and Innovation?
no

Section C: Financial Management Systems

Table II.C.1: Financial Management Systems			
System(s) Name	System acronym	Type of Financial System	BY Funding
*	*	*	*

Section D: Multi-Agency Collaboration Oversight (For Multi-Agency Collaborations only)

Table II.D.1. Customer Table:	
Customer Agency	Joint exhibit approval date
NONE	

Table II.D.2. Shared Service Providers		
Shared Service Provider (Agency)	Shared Service Asset Title	Shared Service Provider Exhibit 53 UPI (BY 2011)
*	*	*

Table II.D.3. For IT Investments, Partner Funding Strategies (\$millions):							
Partner Agency	Partner exhibit 53 UPI (BY 2012)	CY Monetary Contribution	CY “In-Kind” Contribution	CY Fee-for-Service	BY Monetary Contribution	BY “In-Kind” Contribution	BY Fee-for-Service
NONE							

Table II.D.4. Legacy Systems Being Replaced		
Name of the Legacy Investment of Systems	Current UPI	Date of the System Retirement
*	*	*

Section E: Performance Information

Table I.E.1a. Performance Metric Attributes

Measurement Area (For IT Assets)	Measurement Grouping (For IT Assets)	Measurement Indicator	Reporting Frequency	Unit of Measure	Performance Measure Direction	Baseline	Year Baseline Established for this measure (Origination Date)
Processes and Activities	Innovation and Improvement	Add the capability to store 15,000 imports of photos.	annual	Photos	Increases	TSC currently is completing the capability to add photos.	2005-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2006	Add up to 15,000 photos to the data base.	Added 20,000 photos to the database.	Met	2011-02-23
Technology	Data Reliability and Quality	Based on the increase in volume of transactions for the suite of critical QA Tickets, increase the staff analyzing QA tickets from approximately 5 to 20 staff to maintain processing of critical tickets within 24 hours.	annual	Hours	Decrease	Increased volume of biometric data added to TSDB records increased the number of Q A Tickets and the correction time. Hence, TSC needs to keep QA tickets processing time of not more than 24 hours.	2009-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2011	Because of increase demands, expand staff from approximately 5 to 20 to ensure review and verification of critical QA tickets within 24 hours.	TBD	Not Due	2011-02-23
Technology	Data Reliability and Quality	Develop an editable TSDB process to identify and process critical Quality Assurance (QA) Tickets such that results of the analysis can be distributed within 24 hours.	annual	Hours	Decrease	Biometric data added to TSDB records increased the number of Q A Tickets and the correction time. Hence, TSC needs to reduce QA tickets processing time of several days to	2009-10-01

not more than 24 hours.

			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2010	All critical QA tickets are processed within one nomination cycle or approximately 24 hours. Implement an Editable TSDB that includes QA work flow and consensus is reached with NCTC on priority types for QA tickets.	The Editable TSDB has been implemented.	Met	2011-02-23
Mission and Business Results	Intelligence Dissemination	Enhance the quality or increase the number of application interfaces by 30% that are currently available to TSC and Community Intelligence Staffs to support identification of Known or Suspected Terrorists (KSTs)	annual	Interfaces	Increases	Currently TSC KST database applications provide approximately 13 different types of reports to support identification of KST encounters.	2009-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2010	Provide enhancements to reports and add four application interfaces for an aggregate of 30% change to products to improve KST encounter information available to TSC and Community Intelligence staffs.	Enhancements have been implemented for 30%.	Met	2010-12-17
Technology	Data Reliability and Quality	Establish a program to reduce the redress queue to 75 or less.	annual	Cases	Decrease	Increase staff to review and support reduction of redress queue.	2005-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2006	Reduce redress queue to not more than 75.	Reduced redress queue average number to	Met	2011-02-23

approximately 75.

Technology	Data Reliability and Quality	Establish an approach to improve TSC data quality. Trying to reduce redress queue to 55 or less.	annual	Errors	Decrease	Informal methods exist to perform quality measures and corrections for redress queue.	2006-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2007	Established a single review queue to reduce errors by 10%.	Single data queue reduced the number of errors by approximately 15%. Reduced redress queue to 55.	Met	2010-12-17
Mission and Business Results	Intelligence Dissemination	Establish disseminate of photos of known or suspected terrorist up to 15,000.	annual	Photos	Increases	TSC currently is completing the capability to add photos	2005-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2006	Establish photos in 15,000 records.	20,000 records now have photos for dissemination, which exceeds the target.	Met	2010-12-17
Processes and Activities	Innovation and Improvement	Identify two systems of the highest priority whose support from TSC is critical for Counter Terrorist and collapse TSC applications into these applications.	annual	Systems	Decrease	Counter Terrorist Applications accept data from many sources to scrutinize and enhance available information to achieve user services. The TSC systems complement other terrorist applications by being collapsed into external IC systems.	2014-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2015	Identify and Complement two critical counter	TBD	Not Due	2011-02-23

Technology	Data Reliability and Quality	Implement and maintain the Data Integrity Officer's Improvement plan and reduce the average number of open redress to NGT 50.	annual	terrorist systems by collapsing TSC applications into these IC systems.	Decrease	Average number redress matters backlogged are about 55 during previous year.	2005-10-01	
				Cases				
				Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target “Met” or “Not Met”	Last Updated
				2008	Reduce and maintain the average number of redress matters back logged at not more than 50. Only if awaiting TSC Action	Average number of redress matters backlogged is less than 50.	Met	2011-02-23

Technology	Data Reliability and Quality	Implement and maintain the Data Integrity Officer's Improvement plan to maintain the current level of backlogs at about 50.	annual	Cases	Decrease	Increased volume of biometric data to TSDB records and the increased number of Q A tickets resulted in correction delays, which will require TSC to increase current staff of 20 to 25 to ensure all priority tickets are processed within 24 hours.	2005-10-01	
				Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target “Met” or “Not Met”	Last Updated
				2012	Because of increase demands, expand staff from approximately 20 to 25 and improve processes to ensure review and verification of critical QA tickets still occur within 24 hours.	TBD	Not Due	2011-02-23

Technology	Data Reliability and Quality	Implement and maintain the Data Integrity	annual	Cases	Decrease	Average number of redress matters	2005-10-01

Officer's Improvement
plan?number of records
in redress less than 50.

backlogged is about 50.

Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
2009	Reduce and/or maintain the average number of redress matters back logged at not more than 50. Only if awaiting TSC action	The current number of records in redress is less than 50. Initiated a proactive action on individuals reviewed frequently.	Met	2010-12-17

Mission and Business Results	Intelligence Dissemination	Increase by 5,000 the number of person records with at least one biometric association for dissemination of records associated with KSTs (known or suspected terrors).	annual	Person Records	Increases	Approximately 45,000 biometric associations with person records are currently in the terrorist screening data based (TSDB).	2007-10-01
------------------------------	----------------------------	--	--------	----------------	-----------	---	------------

Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
2011	At least 50,000 person records have association with at least one biometric.	TBD	Not Due	2011-02-23

Mission and Business Results	Intelligence Dissemination	Increase by 5,000 the number of person records with at least one biometric for dissemination of records associated with KSTs (known or suspected terrors).	annual	Person Records	Increases	Approximately 55,000 biometric associations with person records are currently in the terrorist screening data based (TSDB).	2007-10-01
------------------------------	----------------------------	--	--------	----------------	-----------	---	------------

Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
2014	At least 60,000 person records have association with at least one biometric.	TBD	Not Due	2011-02-23

Mission and Business	Intelligence	Increase by 5,000 the	annual	Person Records	Increases	Approximately 60,000	2007-10-01
----------------------	--------------	-----------------------	--------	----------------	-----------	----------------------	------------

Results	Dissemination	number of person records with at least one biometric for dissemination of records associated with KSTs (known or suspected terrors).				biometric associations with person records are currently in the terrorist screening data based (TSDB).	
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2015	At least 65,000 person records have association with at least one biometric.	TBD	Not Due	2011-02-23
			2016	At least 65,000 person records have association with at least one biometric.	TBD	Not Due	2011-02-23
Mission and Business Results	Intelligence Dissemination	Increase by 5,000 the number of person records with at least one biometric for dissemination of records associated with KSTs (known or suspected terrors).	annual	Person Records	Increases	Approximately 50,000 biometric associations with person records are currently in the terrorist screening data based (TSDB).	2007-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2012	At least 55,000 person records have association with at least one biometric.	TBD	Not Due	2010-12-17
Mission and Business Results	Intelligence Dissemination	Increase disseminate of biometrics association with person records of suspected terrorist by 3,000 biometric associations a total of 36,000 person records	annual	Person Records	Increases	Approximately 33,000 person records having biometrics are currently in the terrorist screening data base (TSDB)	2007-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2009	Have approximately	Have at least 36,000	Met	2010-12-17

Mission and Business Results	Intelligence Dissemination	Increase disseminate of photos of known or suspected terrorist by 2000.	annual	36,000 person records associated with person records of suspected terrorist.	person records associated with biometrics of KSTs.	Approximately 20,000 photos are currently in the terrorist screening data base (TSDB) for TSS.	2005-10-01	
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results		Target “Met” or “Not Met”	Last Updated
			2007	Increase number of photos by 10% (2,000) to 22,000.	22,200 records now have photos, which exceeds the target.		Met	2010-12-17
Customer Results	New Customers and Market Penetration	Increase the number by one of new foreign customer for information sharing of terrorist watchlist data.	annual	Foreign Customers	Increases	TSC has four foreign customers	2005-10-01	
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target “Met” or “Not Met”	Last Updated	
			2006	Add one new foreign customer for a total of five.	Added one additional foreign customer to obtain a total of five foreign customers.	Met	2010-12-17	
Customer Results	New Customers and Market Penetration	Increase the number by one of new foreign customer for information sharing of terrorist watchlist data.	annual	Foreign Customers	Increases	TSC has five foreign customers	2005-10-01	
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target “Met” or “Not Met”	Last Updated	
			2007	Add one new foreign customer for a total of six.	Added one additional foreign customer to obtain a total of six foreign customers.	Met	2010-12-17	
Customer Results	New Customers and Market Penetration	Increase the number by one of new foreign customer for information	annual	Foreign Customers	Increases	Capability available to export information to six foreign customers	2005-10-01	

		sharing of terrorist watchlist data.					
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2008	TSC perform exports to one additional foreign customer or a total of 7 foreign customers.	TSC performed exports to three additional foreign customer or a total of 9 foreign customers.	Met	2010-12-17
Customer Results	New Customers and Market Penetration	Increase the number of new foreign customer by one for information sharing of terrorist watchlist data.	annual	Foreign Customers	Increases	A total of 9 active foreign customers	2005-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2009	Establish one new foreign customer bringing the total to 10.	TSC has one additional remote query foreign customers or a total of 10 foreign customers	Met	2011-02-23
Mission and Business Results	Intelligence Dissemination	Increase the number of person records with at least one biometric to 5,000 for dissemination of records associated with KSTs (known or suspected terrors).	annual	Person Records	Increases	Approximately 55,000 biometric associations with person records are currently in the terrorist screening data based (TSDB).	2007-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2013	At least 60,000 person records have association with at least one biometric.	TBD	Not Due	2011-02-23
Processes and Activities	Innovation and Improvement	Increase the volume of identities that are associated with biometric data by 5,000 to 50,000 identities.	annual	Identities	Increases	The number of identities associated with biometrics is 50,000 entries.	2007-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target	Last Updated

						"Met" or "Not Met"	
			2008	Increase TSDB biometric data to the extent that 5,000 more identities or a total of 50,000 identities have associated biometrics.	Increased biometric data to the extent that 50,000 identities have associated biometrics.	Met	2011-02-23
Processes and Activities	Innovation and Improvement	Increase the volume of identities that are associated with biometric data by 5,000 to 55,000 identities	annual	Identities	Increases	The number of identities associated with biometrics is 50,000 entries.	2007-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2009	Increase TSDB biometric data to the extent that 5,000 more identities or a total of 55,000 identities have associated biometrics.	The number of identities in TSDB associated with biometrics is about 55,000.	Met	2010-12-17
Processes and Activities	Innovation and Improvement	Increased photo imports to the TSS database by 2000.	annual	Photos	Increases	There are currently about 20,000 records with photos.	2005-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2007	Increase the number of entries of photos from 20,000 to 22,000.	Enhanced TSS photos ingested to approximately 22,000 records.	Met	2010-12-17
Mission and Business Results	Intelligence Dissemination	Moving from an identities based to person based system: increase disseminate of biometrics association with person records of suspected terrorist by 3000 biometric associations to 33,000.	annual	Person Records	Increases	Approximately 30,000 photos and fingerprints are currently in the terrorist screening data base (TSDB)	2007-10-01

			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2008	Enhance validity of matches by increasing the number of biometric in identities to 33,000.	At least 33,000 person records have association with at least one biometric.	Met	2011-02-23
Customer Results	New Customers and Market Penetration	Outreach including education, awareness, and training to result in a 2% increase of sites using watch listing data.	annual	Sites	Increases	Five staff years of resources are providing awareness, education and training for international, federal, state, and local organizations to maintain and increase usage of watchlisting data, which currently is being used at approximately 2,270 sites.	2009-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2010	Provide resources supporting outreach for awareness, education, and training to increase by 2% the total number of sites to 2315 that are using watchlisting data.	Total number of sites has exceeded 2315.	Met	2011-02-23
Customer Results	New Customers and Market Penetration	Outreach including education, awareness, and training to result in a 2% increase of sites using watch listing data.	annual	Sites	Increases	Five staff years of resources are providing awareness, education and training for international, federal, state, and local organizations to maintain and increase usage of watchlisting data, which currently is being used at approximately 2,315 sites	2009-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2011	Provide resources	TBD	Not Due	2010-12-17

Page 28 / 33 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

Customer Results	New Customers and Market Penetration	Outreach including education, awareness, and training to result in a 2% increase of sites using watch listing data.	annual	Sites	Increases	awareness, education, and training to increase by 2% the total number of sites to 2,459 that are using watchlisting data.	Five staff years of resources are providing awareness, education and training for international, federal, state, and local organizations to maintain and increase usage of watchlisting data, which currently is being used at approximately 2,459 sites.	2009-10-01

				and training to increase by 2% the total number of sites to 2,558 that are using watchlisting data.			
			2016	Provide resources supporting outreach for awareness, education, and training to increase by 2% the total number of sites to 2,558 that are using watchlisting data.	TBD	Not Due	2010-12-17
Technology	Data Reliability and Quality	Provide all FBI Intelligence Field Office Analysts with remote access to TSDB and EMA data.	annual	Number of Staff	Increases	The FBI Staff does not have remote access to TSC watch listing data.	2013-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2013	All FBI Intelligence Field Office Analysts have remote access to TSDB and EMA data.	TBD	Not Due	2010-12-17
Technology	Data Reliability and Quality	Reduce the number in the QA ticket backlog by 25% and no critical QA tickets exceed delays of more than 4 hours before being processed.	annual	QA Tickets	Decrease	The intent of the QA program is to have additional IC members using a standard approach that eliminate delays in processing QA tickets. There are less than 1000 QA tickets in the Queue; critical tickets need to be processed in less than 12 hours.	2013-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2014	Critical ticket processing is completed within 4 hours and the average number of QA tickets in the queue is reduced to not more than 750.	TBD	Not Due	2010-12-17

Processes and Activities	Innovation and Improvement	Reduce the number of SBU environments at TSC to not more than 3 networks; each with single domains.	annual	Networks	Decrease	There are currently six or more SBU systems at TSC.	2009-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2010	Not more than three TSC SBU Systems; each has a single domain.	3 SBU systems have been met.	Met	2010-12-17
Processes and Activities	Innovation and Improvement	Reduce the time to complete updates from TIDE to TSDB from daily to on demand.	annual	Hours	Decrease	The transactions from TIDE to TSDB are performed once a day using a CD. The intent is to establish an on demand process for TIDE updates to TSDB, which likely will require a two way security transfer for data.	2009-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2013	The available TIDE updates to TSDB are updated to on demand procedure as jointly agreed to by TSC and NCTC.	TBD	Not Due	2011-02-23
Processes and Activities	Innovation and Improvement	The number of SANs, Servers, and Network Devices and its supporting software that are technically and economically replaceable that have been installed for more than 4 years.	annual	Devices	Decrease	The TSC IT Unit is developing a refresh program for its software and hardware environment.	2011-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2012	There are no (zero) SANs, Servers, and	TBD	Not Due	2010-12-17

Network Devices and its supporting software that are technically and economically replaceable that have been installed for more than 4 years.

Processes and Activities	Innovation and Improvement	The updating of the Terrorist Screening System (TSS) Architecture Vision Document and its alignment with strategic objectives is approved each fiscal year by TSC Senior	annual	Approvals	Increases	The TSS Architecture Vision Document needs to be aligned with strategic objectives, condensed to a single document, and validated yearly by TSC Senior Management.	2010-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2011	TSS Architecture Vision Document has been updated, aligned with TSC strategic objective, and approved this fiscal year by TSC Senior Management.	TBD	Not Due	2011-02-23
Processes and Activities	Innovation and Improvement	There is a single FBI/TSC SBU Domain created by collapsing the TSC Domain into the FBI UNet system based on expectations of an available mature UNet.	annual	Domains	Decrease	The TSC SBU domain is separated from FBI's SBU domain. There needs to exist a common TSC/FBI SBU domain.	2013-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2014	One FBI UNet system (dependent on a mature UNet predecessor) that has a single domain for both TSC and FBI users.	TBD	Not Due	2010-12-17
			2016	One FBI UNet system (dependent on a mature UNet predecessor) that has a single domain for	TBD	Not Due	2010-12-17

			both TSC and FBI users.				
Technology	Data Reliability and Quality	Validate the quality and take corrective action as needed on all encountered KSTs biometrics for fingerprints and photos.	annual	Biometric Records	Increases	A process to assess the quality of biometrics based on encounters and collaborative efforts has been established.	2014-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2016	Validate the quality of 100% of the biometrics for fingerprints and photos of encountered KSTs and take corrective action as available and needed.	TBD	Not Due	2010-12-17
Technology	Data Reliability and Quality	Validate the quality and take corrective action as needed on all encountered KSTs biometrics for fingerprints and photos.	annual	Biometric Records	Decrease	A process to assess the quality of biometrics based on encounters and collaborative efforts has been established.	2014-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2015	Validate the quality of 100% of the biometrics for fingerprints and photos of encountered KSTs and take corrective action as available and needed.	TBD	Not Due	2010-09-17

* - Indicates data is redacted.